

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/559,802	Applicant(s) PLESCHIUTSCHNIGG ET AL.	
	Examiner TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS.

(2) KLAUS STOFFEL.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2011

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: response to non-final action

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 12:21 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
THE EXAMINER SUGGESTED AMENDING CLAIM 1 TO PLACE THE CLAIM IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE AND TO ADD THE CONTINUITY DATA TO THE SPECIFICATION. MR. STOFFEL AGREED.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/T. M. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 1733

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)